

Getting Evidence into Clinical Practice: Critically Appraised Topic Group (CAT Group)

Specific Question:

In adults with anterior knee pain is the addition of foot orthoses to knee exercises more effective compared to no foot orthoses?

Clinical bottom line



There is insufficient evidence to say with confidence that foot orthoses combined with knee exercises is more effective compared to no foot orthoses. There is one well designed RCT that has shown a combination of foot specific exercises and foot orthoses combined with standard knee targeted exercises improves pain at four months compared to standard knee targeted exercises alone.

Standard practice remains unchanged. However, clinicians identifying patients that fit the subpopulation category of excessive calcaneal eversion (+6 degrees) are advised to discuss this treatment with patients, obtain informed consent and audit their results to obtain evidence on patient feedback and experience.

Why is this important?

There are several published best practice guides which advise that foot orthoses have benefit for patients with anterior knee pain conditions such as patellofemoral knee pain. The group was interested in exploring this question to measure the benefit of orthoses in the knee pain population group and explore evidence that might exist to justify NHS referrals for this form of treatment.

Search timeframe (2016 - 2021)

Inclusion Criteria

	Description	Search terms
Population and Setting	Adults over 16 years	Anterior knee pain Patella-osteoarthritis Patellofemoral knee pains PFKP
		Exclusion: fracture/inflammatory arthritis/cancer/previous



Intervention or Exposure	Orthoses	surgery/tibiofemoral knee pain/ tibiofemoral-osteoarthritis / meniscus/ meniscus-tear / meniscus-injury Orthoses Orthosis Bespoke Off the shelf Insole
		Hoot support Medial wedge
Comparison, if any	Exercise	Physio Usual care Physiotherapy Exercise Weight bearing exercise Rehabilitation Vastus medius oblique Vastus medius muscle Isokinetic exercises Isometric exercises
Outcomes of interest	Pain	
Types of studies	SR RCT	

Routine Databases Searched

Cochrane Systematic Review, Medline, Cinahl and Pub med

Date of search March 2022







Table 1- Detail of included studies

First Author, year and type of study	Population and setting	Intervention or exposure tested	Study results	Assessment of quality and comments
Molgaard et al, 2018 RCT	Between 18 & 60 years of age Anterior or retropatellar pain for more than 12 weeks Excessive calcaneal eversion in relaxed bilateral standing greater than 6 degrees Pain elicited by 2 of the following 4 tests i) isometric muscle contraction with slight knee bend ii) palpation of the patellofemoral joint line iii) patellar compression against the femoral bone iv) active resisted knee extension Able and motivated to	Participants randomly allocated to the control group (CG) receiving standard knee targeted exercises or intervention group (IG) receiving standard knee targeted exercises, combined with foot targeted exercises and foot orthoses.	The addition of foot targeted exercises and foot orthoses for 12 weeks was more effective than knee targeted exercises alone in individuals with patellofemoral pain. The effect was apparent after 4 months, but not significantly different after 12 months.	Good quality trial. Small numbers N=40 The trial addressed a clearly focused issue. Randomisation was managed by an independent secretary, allocation was sealed in opaque and consecutively numbered envelopes, the physiotherapist responsible for collecting outcome measures at follow up was blinded to the randomisations. All patients who entered the trial were properly accounted for. The groups were similar at baseline and treated similarly with exception of the intervention.



complete the study The outcome study Where was it undertaken? was the primary outcome being measured; Pain Denmark Undertaken in secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy The Intervention Group achieved a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the study. The difference full each of the study. The difference is the study. The difference full each of the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The difference is the study. The different (IG 79 //G 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients trea			
Study CAT question Where was it undertaken? CAT question Denmark The Intervention Undertaken in secondary The Intervention Garage Group achieved a larger improvement in Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy Second (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	complete the		I ne outcome
Where was it undertaken? CAI question was the primary outcome being measured; Pain Denmark The Intervention Group achieved a larger Undertaken in secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy The Intervention Group achieved a larger Occupational Therapy score (78) versus the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	study		relevant to this
Where was it undertaken? Was the primary outcome being measured; Pain Denmark The Intervention Group achieved a larger Undertaken in secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy The Intervention Group achieved a larger Dispartment of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy Second (8), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	14/1		
undertaken? Outcome being measured; Pain Denmark The Intervention Group achieved a larger improvement in the KOOS pain score (78) versus the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	Where was it		was the primary
Denmark The Intervention Undertaken in secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy The Intervention Group achieved a larger improvement in the KOOS pain score (78) versus the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	undertaken?		outcome being
DenmarkThe Intervention Group achieved a larger improvement in the KOOS pain score (78) versus the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study.The difference study.The differe			measured; Pain
Undertaken in secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational TherapyIntel Intervention Group achieved a larger improvement in the KOOS pain score (78) versus the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study.The difference study.The difference study. </td <td>Denmark</td> <td></td> <td>The later continu</td>	Denmark		The later continu
Undertaken in secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy			I ne Intervention
secondary care within a Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy Therapy	Undertaken in		Group achieved a
care within a Improvement in Department of Physiotherapy and Score (78) versus Occupational R.9 difference but Therapy the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference study. Classed as no lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	secondary		larger
Department of Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy the KOOS pain score (78) versus the CG (69), a 8.9 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	care within a		Improvement in
Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy	Department of		the KOOS pain
and Occupational Therapy	Physiotherapy		score (78) versus
Occupational Therapy 0.5 0 difference but not quite reaching the 10 point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	and		the CG (69), a
Therapy Initial the second set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 //CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	Occupational		6.9 dillerence but
the to point threshold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one	Therapy		the 10 point
Interstold set by the researchers at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			the 10 point
Interfestioners at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			the recorders
at the start of the study. The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 //CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			of the start of the
The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			at the start of the
The difference still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			study.
still existed at 12 months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			The difference
months but at a lower value classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			still existed at 12
Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			months but at a
Classed as no longer significantly different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			lower value
Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			classed as no
Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			longer
different (IG 79 /CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			significantly
/CG 74) Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			different (IG 79
Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			/CG 74)
Authors publish a confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			,
confidence interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			Authors publish a
interval of 95% and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			confidence
and number needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			interval of 95%
needed to treat of 3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			and number
3 – for every 3 patients treated suggest that one			needed to treat of
patients treated suggest that one			3 – for every 3
suggest that one			patients treated
			suggest that one
extra successful			extra successful
outcome for			outcome for
every 3 patients			every 3 patients
would occur.			would occur.
The result could			The result could
be applied to a			be applied to a
local population			local population
as specific			as specific
characteristics			characteristics



		relevant to a therapy clinic (individuals with excessive calcaneal eversion) can be identified and patient can be offered informed choice.
		A point to make - the IG received foot targeted exercises AND orthoses so which dimension is responsible for the improvement is not clear. Is it the combination of foot specific exercises AND the orthoses or one of the other? The authors raise this point.

Summary

The addition of foot targeted exercises and foot orthoses for 12 weeks was more effective than knee targeted exercises alone in individuals with patellofemoral pain. The effect was apparent after 4 months, but not significantly different after 12 months.

Implications for Practice/research

There is evidence from a small well-designed RCT that foot orthoses and targeted foot exercises add value in the management of anterior knee pain in a specific population group. This specific population group are individuals with excessive calcaneal eversion.

The research suggests this may accelerate improvements in knee pain in the short term (for example four months) but have similar outcomes at 12 months.

However, the research does not separate the value of foot orthoses from foot targeted exercises and so it is not clear which component or in combination produces the KOOS improvements.



Further research is required exploring the individual effects of foot targeted exercise or foot orthoses on this sub population group with anterior knee pain.

What would you tweet? (140 characters)

There is some evidence that foot orthoses, and foot and knee targeted exercise add benefit in a subpopulation group with anterior knee pain and excessive calcaneal eversion. Please be mindful that numbers in the study were small and there is lack of clarity regarding the benefits of foot targeted exercise or orthoses on the improvement in the KOOS score.

Practitioners using this combination approach are advised to inform their patients prior to commencing this treatment so that informed consent can be obtained, and practitioners are encouraged to audit their results to obtain evidence on patient feedback and experience.

References

Foot exercises and foot orthoses are more effective than knee focused exercises in individuals with patellofemoral pain - PubMed (nih.gov)